


Offline Electron Reconstruction Validation

Caleb Fangmeier
Ilya Kravchenko, Greg Snow

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

July 21, 2017



INTRODUCTION

- ▶ Our goal is to study **seeding** for the **offline** gsf tracking with the **new pixel detector**.
- ▶ Ongoing studies¹ in HLT examine the resolution of RecHits used in GSF Tracking.
- ▶ In those studies, the resolution is computed by measuring the distance between the **RecHits** and the extrapolated paths from ECAL **super-clusters** (SCs).
- ▶ For **offline** reconstruction, we compute residuals by comparing the position of **RecHits** and associated **SimHits**.
- ▶ Knowing these resolutions is important in choosing the size of search windows in the hit matching algorithm used in electron reconstruction.

¹https://indico.cern.ch/event/613833/contributions/2646392/attachments/1486134/2307836/EGMHLT_PixelMatching_Jun30.pdf

INTRODUCTION


- ▶ We use Rafael Lopes de Sa's analysis setup² that is derived from the standard offline tracking reconstruction tool TrackingNtuple from Validation/RecoTrack.
- ▶ Source dataset:
/DYJetsToLL_M-50_TuneCUETP8M1_13TeV-madgraphMLM-pythia8/
PhaseIFall16DR-FlatPU28to62HcalNZSRAW_81X_upgrade2017_realisticGEN-SIM-RAW
- ▶ Using Release CMSSW_8_1_0
- ▶ Figures in this talk use 31790 events (could be re-run with more)

²<https://github.com/rafaellopesdesa/cmssw/tree/ValidationGsfTracks81X>

[//github.com/rafaellopesdesa/cmssw/tree/ValidationGsfTracks81X](https://github.com/rafaellopesdesa/cmssw/tree/ValidationGsfTracks81X)


ELECTRON SEEDING

TODO: Say a few words about the steps in electron seeding



TRACKINGNTUPLE

The TrackingNtuple format contains (among others) the below crosslinked collections







FINDING SIMHIT/RECHIT PAIRS



To find residuals for calculating resolutions, require a pair containing 1 RecHit and 1 SimHit. Procedure is as follows:

1. For each Track, get it's Seed (unique)
2. For each RecHit in the Seed, require
 - ▶ It is in the specified subdetector (e.g. BPIX Layer 1)
 - ▶ It is the 1st/2nd hit in the Seed.
 - ▶ It is matched to at least one SimHit.
3. For each RecHit(**B**) passing the above, take the first matched SimHit(**A**).
4. Now look through all SimHits associated with SimTracks associated with the original Track. If **A** exists in this set. Make a pair of SimHit **A** and RecHit **B**.
5. Go back to 1.





BPIX HIT 1 RESOLUTION







BPIX HIT 1 RESOLUTION vs. η







BPIX HIT 2 RESOLUTION



BPIX HIT 2 RESOLUTION vs. η



RESOLUTION DEPENDENCE ON EVEN/ODD LADDER NUMBER



CONCLUSIONS

- ▶ Analysis machinery for offline electron reco studies with MC truth is in place.
- ▶ Preliminary plots of $\Delta\phi_{1/2}$ and $\Delta z_{1/2}$ for BPIX Layers 1/2 are shown.
- ▶ Code for this analysis is here:

`git.fangmeier.tech/caleb/EGamma_ElectronTrackingValidation`

- ▶ next to come
 - ▶ run on larger event samples (trackingNtuples are generated, just need to use)
 - ▶ include FPIX
 - ▶ investigate reasons for rec hit inefficiencies
 - ▶ introduce triplet-based pixel matching for the seeds and repeat the studies